



Management Plan Review Sanctuary Advisory Council Prioritization Exercises

February 19, 2016

Watsonville

Outline



- Process
- Results
- Today's exercise
- Moving forward



Process



Scoping Comment input

- Members ranked top 10 priorities
- Matrix created
- Rough Analysis
 - total rank value assigned
 - number of members

Process



Scoping Comment input

- Secondary Analysis
 - where were mixed votes (do vs. don't)
 - where were natural breaks
 - strength of ranking

Process



Scoping Comment input

- Tertiary Analysis
 - review of comments
 - identification of problematic input
 - assignment of green, yellow and red

Results



Assignment of rank

- Green
 - high ranking value
 - high number of members ranking
 - comments reflect a consensus
 - high public interest



Results



Assignment of rank

- Red
 - low or no ranking value
 - low or no number of members ranking
 - lower public interest

Results



Assignment of rank

- Yellow
 - median ranking value
 - difference of member opinion
 - mixed or medium public interest



Results



Assumptions by staff

- Move forward to assess green items
- Red items are low importance to AC
- Yellow items require more AC input

Meeting Exercise



- “Quick check” by members
- Prioritization of discussion order
- Quick overview on items
- Member discussion
 - new green / red items
 - need more information (workshop)

Moving Forward



Staff assessment

- “First take” exercise completed
- Run AC recommendations through developed criteria
- Staff identify items to undertake
- AC responds / participates

Moving forward



Threshold question:

- Does the ONMS have the institutional responsibility and/or authority to address this issue?

Moving forward



Criteria 1 – Site Benefits

- Does this have positive site benefits to natural resources/ecosystem, cultural resources, habitat protection, protection of biodiversity, or resolving user conflicts?

Moving forward



Criteria 2 – Urgency

- Is the issue/problem adversely impacting resources, persistent, getting worse with time/deteriorating, increasing in frequency, wide spatial extent, non-reversible.

Moving forward



Criteria 3 – Feasibility

- Do we have the necessary people resources/skills, money/funding, infrastructure, and technical capability.

Moving forward



Criteria 4 – Level of Effort

- What is the level of effort required to address an issue? How much time and how many people will be required to accomplish this task?

Moving forward



Criteria 5 – Agency Best Fit

- Who is the best agency or group to address an issue? Is MBNMS the only entity who can cover this issue or are we duplicating effort?

Meeting tasks



Q&A on Process

AC discussion on yellow items