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Why is NMFS interested in Wave and Tidal Projects?

• West coast wave energy rush
  – Lots of projects, lots of water
• NOAA resources and authorities implicated
  – MMPA, MSFCMA, ESA, FPA, NMSA, FWCA
  – Coordination needed
• What is a preliminary permit?
Finavera Humboldt Project (P-12753)

100 MW
200-300 AquaBuoys
Water depth 150 ft
8 Sq mi
Permitted Feb 08
Transmission Line
Dimensions
Mooring Design
NMFS MOI
PG&E Humboldt & Mendocino WaveConnect (P-12779 and P-12781)

- PG&E is lead project developer
- PG&E obtains site control and conducts initial feasibility analyses
- PG&E develops infrastructure to evaluate, test, and deploy various WEC devices
- Open process for device selection
- Individual WEC device developers responsible for device-specific permitting
- Project is scaled up to 40 MW total
- Contributes to post-2010 RPS goals

40 MW
Plug and Play
Water depth 150 ft
136 sq mi /68 sq mi
Permitted Mar 08
Centerville Ocean Power Technologies (P-13075)

20 MW
OPT WECs
Water depth 150 ft
7 sq mi
Permitted June 08

Transmission Line
Dimensions
Mooring Design
NMFS MOI
GreenWave
Mendocino & San Luis Obispo
(P-13053 and P-13052)

100 MW
Several WECs
Depth 150 ft
17 sq mi each
No permit
San Francisco Tidal Energy (P-12585)

Two 30 to 50 ft counter rotating blades
60 units/sq mi
NMFS Comments on Pilot Project and 2nd permit
Permit?
Tidal Power Turbines

Verdant Power Turbine – East River Project – Installation Illustration

OpenHydro Open-Centre Turbine

MCT Seaflow SeaGen Turbines

Devices and technologies pictured for illustration/discussion only. Does not reflect or imply any PG&E preference.
Grays Harbor
San Francisco and Ventura
(P-13308 and P-13309)

100 MW
OWC and Wind
90 and 28 sq mi
No permit
Grays Harbor San Francisco (P-13308)

ONMS-NMFS MOI and Comments

“Given the information currently available, the ONMS recommends that FERC deny issuance of a preliminary permit for a project in this location. However, should FERC and the Applicant decide to progress with a preliminary permit, we recommend that the Applicant show just cause as to why such a project should be allowed within two National Marine Sanctuaries before the preliminary permit is issued. Regardless of the outcome of National Marine Sanctuary issues, the proposed project is still subject to requirements under the ESA, MMPA, MSA and the FWCA, and additional information requirements, as described above.”
Licensing Steps

• Preliminary Permit
  – 36 months
  – Feasibility and technology testing
  – Preserve first priority at the proposed project site
  – They do not authorize construction

• Pilot Project Application
  – <5MW, connect to grid
  – Not in “sensitive area”
  – Able to be removed completely
  – Draft application must support environmental review

• License Application
Makah Bay Wave Project Status

- Makah, WDE, WDNR request rehearing
  - CZMA, CWA, ESA consistency not complete
  - OCNMS not a “reservation”

- FERC determination
  - No violation
  - Sanctuary not a reservation, so 4e’s don’t apply
  - Adopted all but 2 NOAA “recommendations” under FPA 10(a)
  - Future collaboration on authorities

- NOAA OGC 3/21/08
  - File for another rehearing
  - Continue to assert 4e authority for SCWA project
Makah Bay Wave Energy Project

• NOAA FPA 4(e) terms and conditions included
  – Seafloor and eelgrass studies
  – Design review
  – Site inspections
  – Antifouling compound study & plan
  – Noise study & plan
  – Electromagnetic field study & plan
  – **Marine mammal entanglement and collision plan**
  – Bond and Decommissioning Plan
Where to Get Information

- Stephen Bowler at FERC
  (202) 502-6861 or stephen.bowler@ferc.gov
- Maurice Hill at MMS
  (805) 389-7815 or maurice.hill@mms.gov
- www.ferc.gov (register, subscribe)
Documents & Filing

eLibrary
Access documents issued and received by FERC

Notice - Order No. 702
Order 702. In compliance with Order No. 702 in RM06-23

All records that formerly had the security level of Non-Internet Public (NIP) were
converted earlier to public, and the security level of NIP was eliminated.

eLibrary concern and records have been modified:
- To remove the labels "Non-Internet Public" and "NIP"
- To indicate that public requesters for CEII material should file a CEII request
  under 18 CFR § 385.113.

Separate Clean-up Activity. In an unrelated effort to clarify the wording in
eLibrary, the label "Privileged" has replaced the label "Non-Public", to reflect
the wording used in 18 CFR. This is just a clean-up measure; it does not affect
the processing of, or access to, these documents.

Visit our CEII - Related Document Classes section for more information on the
definitions of the various security levels.

* General Search

Search Options
- Advanced Search
- Daily Filings/Issuances ("Daily Search")
- Previous Five Days' Issuances
- Search New Docket Numbers ("New Dockets") | Read More
- Docket Search
- eLibrary Alternate Site
Hydropower - Industry Activities

Hydrokinetic Pilot Project Licensing Process

FERC staff developed a licensing process for hydrokinetic pilot projects tailored to meet the needs of entities interested in testing new technology, including connection with the Interstate grid, while minimizing the risk of adverse environmental impacts. The goal of the pilot process is to allow developers to test new hydrokinetic technologies, to determine appropriate siting of these technologies, and to confirm their environmental effects, while maintaining FERC oversight and agency input. The process completes licensing in as few as six months to allow for project installation, operation, and environmental testing as soon as possible.

Projects eligible to use this process are of limited size, are removable or able to shut down on short notice, and are not located in waters with sensitive designations. The resulting license would be short-term and include rigorous environmental monitoring and safeguards.

» White paper on Hydrokinetic Pilot Project Licensing Process
» Process Flowchart
What is NMFS FERC Team Doing?

- Tracking Projects
- NOS/NMFS Multipurpose Marine Cadastre
- Intervening and Comments
- Providing input to development of licenses
  - Stakeholder groups
- Information/webpage development
- Coordinating
FERC and MMS
Who has jurisdiction on the OCS?

- MMS- In July 2008 issued proposed rule governing alternative energy on the OCS.
- FERC- In August 08, recommended wave and current be removed from rule (FPA grants jurisdiction to issue licenses for private hydroelectric projects in "bodies of water over which Congress has jurisdiction"
- FERC has been issuing licenses and permits for wave and current
- MMS is protesting
FERC and MMS
Who has jurisdiction on the OCS?

• “Nothing in this subsection displaces, supersedes, limits, or modifies the jurisdiction, responsibility, or authority of any Federal or State agency under any other Federal law." The FPA grants FERC jurisdiction to issue licenses for private hydroelectric projects in "bodies of water over which Congress has jurisdiction" under the Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution”  EPA 2005